arXiv:1101.5378v1 [quant-ph] 27 Jan 2011

Erratum: Dynamics of the Bounds of Squared Concurrence [Phys. Rev. A 79, 032306 (2009)]

Zhao Liu and Heng Fan
Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

(Dated: January 28, 2011)

PACS numbers: 03.67.Mn, 03.65.Ud, 03.65.Yz

In a recent paper [1], a shortage in our paper was pointed out. In Eq.(15), the definition of η should be changed to $\eta = \min_{\{p<r\}} \omega_p\omega_r$. If in Eq.(11) some $\omega_i = 0$, Eq.(15) can only give a trivial lower bound of $\tau((\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathcal{E})|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)$, namely $\tau((\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathcal{E})|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) \geq 0$ because $\eta = 0$ at this time. However, if all $\omega_i > 0$, Eq.(15) can give a non-zero lower bound.

We also note that Eqs.(14)-(16) in our paper are derived under the assumption that the input state $|\psi\rangle$ is expressed in the Schmidt decomposition $|\psi\rangle = \sum_i \sqrt{\omega_i}|ii\rangle$. If we consider a general input state $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{ij} a_{ij}|ij\rangle$, we do not know whether they hold in general, because we do not know whether τ is local unitary invariant. However, Eq.(17) does hold for a general input state because the tangle τ' is local unitary invariant. Moreover, if $(\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathcal{E})|\phi^+\rangle\langle\phi^+|$ is a pure state, our Eq.(15) can give a lower bound of concurrence itself for a general input state $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{ij} a_{ij}|ij\rangle$, namely $\mathcal{C}((\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathcal{E})|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) \geq \frac{d}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2d\eta}{d-1}}\mathcal{C}((\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathcal{E})|\phi^+\rangle\langle\phi^+|)\mathcal{C}(|\psi\rangle)$.

After this Erratum is published online, we find that some tighter bounds of τ, $\mathcal{C}$ and τ' can be derived from Eq.(13) in our paper. First, we have

$$\frac{d^2}{4}\eta_{min}\tau((\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathcal{E})|\phi^+\rangle\langle\phi^+|)\mathcal{C}^2(|\psi\rangle) \leq \tau((\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathcal{E})|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) \leq \frac{d^2}{4}\eta_{max}\tau((\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathcal{E})|\phi^+\rangle\langle\phi^+|)\mathcal{C}^2(|\psi\rangle),$$

where $\eta_{max(min)} = \max(\min)_{p<r}\omega_p\omega_r/(\sum_{i<j}\omega_i\omega_j)$ and $|\psi\rangle = \sum_i \sqrt{\omega_i}|ii\rangle$. If $(\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathcal{E})|\phi^+\rangle\langle\phi^+|$ is a pure state, we immediately have

$$\frac{d}{2}\sqrt{\eta_{min}}\mathcal{C}((\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathcal{E})|\phi^+\rangle\langle\phi^+|)\mathcal{C}(|\psi\rangle) \leq \mathcal{C}((\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathcal{E})|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) \leq \frac{d}{2}\sqrt{\eta_{max}}\mathcal{C}((\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathcal{E})|\phi^+\rangle\langle\phi^+|)\mathcal{C}(|\psi\rangle),$$

where $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{ij} a_{ij}|ij\rangle$ is a general input state. If $(\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathcal{E})|\phi^+\rangle\langle\phi^+|$ is a mixed state, by the technique used in Eq.(17) in our paper, we still have

$$\mathcal{C}((\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathcal{E})|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) \leq \frac{d}{2}\sqrt{\eta_{max}}\mathcal{C}((\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathcal{E})|\phi^+\rangle\langle\phi^+|)\mathcal{C}(|\psi\rangle),$$

where $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{ij} a_{ij}|ij\rangle$ is a general input state. Of course for τ' we also have

$$\tau'((\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathcal{E})|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) \leq \frac{d^2}{4}\eta_{max}\tau'((\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathcal{E})|\phi^+\rangle\langle\phi^+|)\mathcal{C}^2(|\psi\rangle),$$

where $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{ij} a_{ij}|ij\rangle$ is a general input state.

---

[1] S. Y. Mirafzali, I. Sargolzahi, A. Ahanj, K. Javidan and M. Sarbishaei, Phys. Rev. A **82**, 032321 (2010).